

Holomorphic Equivariant Cohomology via a Transversal Holomorphic Vector Field ^{*}

Huitao Feng

Abstract In this paper an analytic proof of a generalization of a theorem of Bismut ([Bis1, Theorem 5.1]) is given, which says that, when v is a transversal holomorphic vector field on a compact complex manifold X with a zero point set Y , the embedding $j : Y \rightarrow X$ induces a natural isomorphism between the holomorphic equivariant cohomology of X via v with coefficients in ξ and the Dolbeault cohomology of Y with coefficients in $\xi|_Y$, where $\xi \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over X .

2000MR Subject Classification 53C, 58J

1 Introduction

For a compact complex manifold X , let $T_{\mathbf{C}}X$ denote the complexification of the real tangent bundle $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$ of X . Then $T_{\mathbf{C}}X$ splits canonically as $T_{\mathbf{C}}X = TX \oplus \overline{TX}$, where TX and $\overline{TX}$ are the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of X , respectively. Let $\xi \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over X . There exists a natural $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading in $\Lambda^{\cdot}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ defined by the following decomposition:

$$\Lambda^{\cdot}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi = \bigoplus_{-\dim X \leq r \leq \dim X} \Lambda^{(r)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\Lambda^{(r)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi = \bigoplus_{q-p=r} \Lambda^q(\overline{T^*X}) \otimes (\Lambda^p(T^*X) \otimes \xi). \quad (1.2)$$

We will use $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ to denote $\Lambda^{\cdot}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ with this $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading. Let $\Omega^{(r)}(X, \xi)$ (resp. $\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$) be the complex vector space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{(r)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ (resp. $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$).

^{*}Partially supported by the NNSF of China (10271059) and the K. C. Wong Education Foundation

$\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$. Then $(\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi) = \bigoplus_r \Omega^{(r)}(X, \xi), \bar{\partial}^X)$ is a complex and the cohomology groups $H^{(r)}(X, \xi)$ associated to this complex are direct sums:

$$H^{(r)}(X, \xi) = \bigoplus_{q-p=r} H^{p,q}(X, \xi), \quad (1.3)$$

where $H^{p,q}(X, \xi)$ are the usual Dolbeault cohomology groups of X with coefficients in the holomorphic vector bundle ξ .

For any holomorphic vector field v on X , set

$$\bar{\partial}_v^X = \bar{\partial}^X + i(v) : \Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{(\cdot+1)}(X, \xi), \quad (1.4)$$

where $i(v)$ is the standard contraction operator defined by v . The consideration of operators $\bar{\partial}_v^X$ and $i(v)$ together goes back to [Bot]. Clearly, $(\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi), \bar{\partial}_v^X)$ is also a $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded complex. Denote the cohomology groups associated to this complex by $H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$, which are called the **holomorphic equivariant cohomology** groups of X via v with coefficients in ξ (cf. [L]).

In [CL], Carrell and Lieberman discussed the relation between Dolbeault cohomology of a connected compact Kähler manifold X and the zero point set Y of a holomorphic vector field v on X by using Deligne degeneracy criterion and proved that $H^{(r)}(X, \mathbf{C})$ vanished for all $|r| > \dim Y$ if $Y \neq \emptyset$. Since $\dim H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C}) = \dim H^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C})$ in this case (see [L, Theorem 1.3] and [CL]), the corresponding vanishing results for $H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C})$ are also valid. For a general compact complex manifold X and a transversal holomorphic vector field v on X (see a definition in [Bis1, Sect.5.1]), Liu in [L] proved that $\dim H^{(\cdot)}(Y, \mathbf{C}) \leq \dim H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C})$ by constructing an injective homomorphism $\alpha_r : H^{(r)}(Y, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C})$. Moreover, Liu got a counting formula for $\dim H_v^{(0)}(X, \mathbf{C})$ in term of the multiplicities of the zero points of v if the zero points of v are discrete. Under the assumption that the zero point of v is nodegenerate, motivated by Witten's deformation idea ([W]), he also sketched an analytic proof of his formula in [L, Sect.7] by examining the behavior of a natural deformation D_T^X of the Riemann-Roch operator on X as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

A more general result in this direction is due to Bismut. By using the technique of spectral sequences in [Bis1, Theorem 5.1], Bismut proves that, when v is a transversal holomorphic vector field on X with a zero point set Y , the embedding $j : Y \rightarrow X$ induces naturally a quasi-isomorphism $j^* : (\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \mathbf{C}), \bar{\partial}_v^X) \rightarrow (\Omega^{(\cdot)}(Y, \mathbf{C}), \bar{\partial}^Y)$.

In this paper, we will give an analytic proof of the following fairly straightforward generalization of the Bismut's theorem [Bis1, Theorem 5.1]:

Theorem 1.1 *Let v be a transversal holomorphic vector field on a compact complex manifold X with a zero point set Y . Then*

$$j^* : (\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi), \bar{\partial}_v^X) \rightarrow (\Omega^{(\cdot)}(Y, \xi|_Y), \bar{\partial}^Y) \quad (1.5)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Following Witten's deformation idea ([W]) as in [L, Sect.7], we will also work with a deformation D_T^X of the twisted Riemann-Roch operator on X by ξ but the whole proof now is heavily based on the analytic localization techniques developed by Bismut and Lebeau (cf. [BL]), since the analysis involved here is much complicated than the situation in [L, Sect.7]. A key point in our proof is to express D_T^X and to trivialize the bundle on which D_T^X acts by using the Bismut connection ∇^{-B} (see [Bis2, II. b])). We should point out that if the trivialization is made by a lifting of the holomorphic Hermitian connection ∇^{TX} on TX , then an extra term coming from the torsion of ∇^{TX} will enter to the final operator on Y in the Bismut-Lebeau localization process of D_T^X as $T \rightarrow \infty$. But it is not clear to us that the extra term is zero for a general complex manifold X . Consequently, we could only obtain an equality related to the involved operators at the index level.

2 A deformed twisted Riemann-Roch operator D_T^X and its local behavior near Y

This section is divided into three parts. In **a)** we introduce a deformation D_T^X of the twisted Riemann-Roch operator by ξ via v , which has been used in [L, Sect.7] in the case of $\xi = \mathbf{C}$. In **b)** we recall the definition of the Bismut connection ∇^{-B} (cf. [Bis2, II. b)]) and express D_T^X in this connection by a direct application of [Bis2, Theorem 2.2]. In **c)** we study the local behavior of the deformed operator D_T^X near the submanifold Y following [BL, Sect.8], in which the Bismut connection will play an essential role.

a) A deformed twisted Riemann-Roch operator D_T^X

Let X be a compact complex manifold of $\mathbf{C}$ -dimension n . For any $T \in \mathbf{R}$, we consider the following deformed operator

$$\bar{\partial}_T^X = \bar{\partial} + Ti(v) : \Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{(\cdot+1)}(X, \xi) \quad (2.1)$$

and the deformed complex $(\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi), \bar{\partial}_T^X)$. One verifies easily as the proof of [L, Lemma 1.1] that the cohomologies associated to this deformed complex do not depend on $T \neq 0$.

Let g^{TX} (resp. g^ξ) be a Hermitian metric on X (resp. ξ). By the standard procedure there is an induced Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi}$ on $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$. Let dv_X denote the Riemannian volume element of (X, g^{TX}) . Then for $s_1, s_2 \in \Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$,

$$\langle \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}} \int_X \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi} dv_X \quad (2.2)$$

defines an L^2 -Hermitian inner product on $\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$. Let $\bar{\partial}^{X*}$ and $\bar{\partial}_T^{X*}$ denote the formal adjoint operators of $\bar{\partial}^X$ and $\bar{\partial}_T^X$, respectively. For any $U \in T_{\mathbb{C}}X$, let U^* be an element in $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*X$ defined by $g^{TX}(U, \cdot)$. Clearly, $\bar{v}^*$ is a $(1,0)$ -form on X and $\bar{v}^* \wedge$ is the dual operator of $i(v)$. Moreover, we have

$$\bar{\partial}_T^{X*} = \bar{\partial}^{X*} + T\bar{v}^* \wedge : \Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{(\cdot-1)}(X, \xi). \quad (2.3)$$

Set

$$D^X = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial}^X + \bar{\partial}^{X*}), \quad D_T^X = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial}_T^X + \bar{\partial}_T^{X*}). \quad (2.4)$$

Clearly, D^X is the usual twisted Riemann-Roch operator by the holomorphic bundle ξ and D_T^X is a deformation of D^X and interchanges $\Omega^{(\text{even})}(X, \xi)$ and $\Omega^{(\text{odd})}(X, \xi)$. From Hodge theory we have the following isomorphisms

$$\ker (D_T^X)^2|_{\Omega^{(r)}(X, \xi)} \cong H_v^{(r)}(X, \xi). \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.1 *For any open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of Y , there exist constants $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $s \in \Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$ with $\text{Supp } s \subset X \setminus \mathcal{U}$ and any $T \geq T_0$, one has the following estimate for Sobolev norms,*

$$\|D_T^X s\|_0^2 \geq a(\|s\|_1^2 + (T - b)\|s\|_0^2). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. An easy computation shows that

$$D_T^{X,2} = D^{X,2} + 2T^2|v|^2 + 2T((\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*) \wedge + i(\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*)), \quad (2.7)$$

where $i(\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*)$ denotes the adjoint operator of $(\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*) \wedge$. Note that $((\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*) \wedge + i(\bar{\partial}^X \bar{v}^*))$ is a zero order operator and $v \neq 0$ on $X \setminus \mathcal{U}$, the lemma follows from the well-known Garding's inequality directly. $\square$

By Lemma 2.1 and Hodge theory, we can study $H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$ through the behavior of the operator D_T^X near Y for large T . Also by Lemma 2.1, it is an easy observation that, when $Y = \emptyset$, the cohomology group $H_v^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$ vanishes. In the following we will always assume that v is transversal and $Y \neq \emptyset$. Note that generally Y consists of some connected components Y_k with different $\mathbf{C}$ -dimensions l_k . When no confusion arises, we always drop the subscripts and simply denote them by Y and l , respectively.

b) An expression of D_T^X in the Bismut connection

We first recall the definition of the Bismut connection in [Bis2, II. b)]. For a complex manifold X with a Hermitian metric g^{TX} , let ∇^{TX} be the holomorphic Hermitian connection on TX . Note that ∇^{TX} induces naturally an Euclidean connection on $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$ which preserves the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$. Let T_X denote the torsion tensor of the connection ∇^{TX} . Let B_X be the antisymmetrization of the tensor $(U, V, W) \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\langle T_X(U, V), W \rangle$ and let S^{-B_X} denote the one form with values in antisymmetric elements of $\text{End}(T_{\mathbf{R}}X)$ which is such that

$$\langle S^{-B_X}(U)V, W \rangle = -2B_X(U, V, W), \quad (2.8)$$

where $U, V, W \in T_{\mathbf{R}}X$. Let ∇^{L^X} be the Levi-Civita connection on $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$. Set

$$S_X = \nabla^{TX} - \nabla^{L^X}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then S_X is also a one form with values in antisymmetric elements of $\text{End}(T_{\mathbf{R}}X)$. The important thing here is that $S^{-B_X} - S_X$ preserves the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$ (cf. [Bis2, (2.38)]). Now the Bismut connection ∇^{-B_X} on $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$ is defined by (cf. [Bis2, (2.37)])

$$\nabla^{-B_X} = \nabla^{TX} + (S^{-B_X} - S_X) = \nabla^{L^X} + S^{-B_X}. \quad (2.10)$$

The Bismut connection ∇^{-B_X} also preserves the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$ and so induces naturally a unitary connection on TX and a unitary connection on $\overline{TX}$, which are still denoted by ∇^{-B_X} . Note that when X is Kähler, the Bismut connection ∇^{-B_X} coincides with the holomorphic Hermitian connection ∇^{TX} . There is a unitary connection on $\Lambda(\overline{T^*X})$ lifted canonically from ∇^{-B_X} , which we still call the Bismut connection and denote by the same notation ∇^{-B_X} .

Let Y be a complex submanifold of X . Let $\pi : N \rightarrow Y$ be the normal bundle of Y in X . We identify N with the sub-bundle of $TX|_Y$ orthogonal to TY with respect to the restriction metric $g^{TX}|_Y$ on $TX|_Y$ by g^{TX} . So we have the identification of C^∞ bundles $TX|_Y = TY \oplus N$. Let g^{TY} (resp. g^N) be the induced metric on TY (resp. N) from $g^{TX}|_Y$. Let $P^{\mathbf{R}Y}$, $P^{\mathbf{R}N}$ be the orthogonal projection operators from $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$ onto $T_{\mathbf{R}}Y$ and $N_{\mathbf{R}}$

respectively. Let j denote the embedding of Y into X . Then $j^*\nabla^{-B_X}$ is a connection on $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$ preserving the metric $g^{TX|_Y}$ and the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$. Moreover, $P^{T_{\mathbf{R}}Y}(j^*\nabla^{-B_X})P^{T_{\mathbf{R}}Y}$ is exactly the Bismut connection on $T_{\mathbf{R}}Y$ associated to the induced metric g^{TY} , and $P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}(j^*\nabla^{-B_X})P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is a connection on $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ preserving the metric g^N and the complex structure of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Set

$$\nabla^{-B_X, \oplus} = \nabla^{-B_Y} \oplus \left(P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}(j^*\nabla^{-B_X})P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = j^*\nabla^{-B_X} - \nabla^{-B_X, \oplus}. \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, $\nabla^{-B_X, \oplus}$ is also a connection on $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$ preserving the metric $g^{TX|_Y}$ and the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$, and $\mathbf{A}$ is the second fundamental form of the Bismut connection ∇^{-B_X} . We still use the same notation $\nabla^{-B_X, \oplus}$ to denote its restriction on $\overline{T^*X}|_Y$ as well as its lifting on $\Lambda(\overline{T^*X}|_Y)$.

Now we return to our situation and express the deformed twisted Riemann-Roch operator D_T^X in the Bismut connection by applying [Bis2, Theorem 2.2]. To do this we still need a holomorphic Hermitian connection on the bundle $\Lambda(T^*X) \otimes \xi$. Since our problem does not depend on the metrics, we can and will choose a special metric on the holomorphic bundle $\Lambda(T^*X)$ to simplify the analysis.

Let $\mathbf{L}_v : TX|_Y \rightarrow TX|_Y$ be the holomorphic Lie homomorphism defined by $\mathbf{L}_v(u) = [v, u]$ for any $u \in TX|_Y$. Denote $\mathbf{L}_v(TX|_Y)$ by $\widetilde{N}$. Since v is transversal, $TX|_Y$ splits holomorphically into $TY \oplus \widetilde{N}$ and $\mathbf{L}_v$ induces an isomorphism from N to $\widetilde{N}$, which we still denote by $\mathbf{L}_v$. We introduce a new Hermitian metric $\widetilde{g}^{\widetilde{N}}$ on $\widetilde{N}$ by requiring that $\mathbf{L}_v : N \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$ is unitary fiberwisely. Consequently, we get a new Hermitian metric $\widetilde{g}^{TX|_Y} = g^{TY} \oplus \widetilde{g}^{\widetilde{N}}$ on $TX|_Y$. We can and we will extend $\widetilde{g}^{TX|_Y}$ to a Hermitian metric $\widetilde{g}^{TX}$ on TX . We will denote TX (resp. $TX|_Y$) with the metric $\widetilde{g}^{TX}$ (resp. $\widetilde{g}^{TX|_Y}$) by $\widetilde{TX}$ (resp. $\widetilde{TX}|_Y$) to distinguish the same bundle with different metrics. Let $\nabla^{\widetilde{TX}}$ (resp. $\nabla^{\widetilde{TX}|_Y}$, resp. $\nabla^{\widetilde{N}}$) be the holomorphic Hermitian connection on $\widetilde{TX}$ (resp. $\widetilde{TX}|_Y$, resp. $\widetilde{N}$). We have the following standard fact:

$$j^*\nabla^{\widetilde{TX}} = \nabla^{\widetilde{TX}|_Y} = \nabla^{TY} \oplus \nabla^{\widetilde{N}}. \quad (2.13)$$

We lift the holomorphic Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\widetilde{TX}}$ (resp. ∇^{TY}) to the holomorphic Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\Lambda(\widetilde{T^*X})}$ (resp. $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Y)}$) on $\Lambda(\widetilde{T^*X})$ (resp. $\Lambda(T^*Y)$).

Let g^ξ be a Hermitian metric on ξ and let ∇^ξ be the holomorphic Hermitian connection on ξ . Set $\nabla^{\xi|_Y} = j^*\nabla^\xi$, which is the holomorphic Hermitian connection on $\xi|_Y$. So $\nabla^{\Lambda(\widetilde{T^*X}) \otimes \xi} = \nabla^{\Lambda(\widetilde{T^*X})} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^\xi$ (resp. $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y} = \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Y)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\xi|_Y}$) is a

holomorphic Hermitian connection on $\Lambda^\cdot(\widetilde{T^*X}) \otimes \xi$ (resp. $\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y$). Therefore,

$$\nabla^{B,X} = \nabla^{-B_X} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\Lambda^\cdot(\widetilde{T^*X}) \otimes \xi}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\nabla^{B,Y} = \nabla^{-B_Y} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y} \quad (2.15)$$

are unitary connections on the Hermitian vector bundle $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$, $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y$, respectively.

For $U \in (TX, g^{TX})$, set

$$c(U) = \sqrt{2}U^* \wedge, \quad c(\bar{U}) = -\sqrt{2}i(\bar{U}); \quad (2.16)$$

and for $U \in (\widetilde{TX}, \tilde{g}^{TX})$, set

$$\hat{c}(U) = -\sqrt{-2}i(U), \quad \hat{c}(\bar{U}) = -\sqrt{-2}\bar{U}^* \wedge. \quad (2.17)$$

We extend the map c (resp. $\hat{c}$) by $\mathbf{C}$ linearity into the Clifford action of $T_{\mathbf{C}}X$ (resp. $\widetilde{T_{\mathbf{C}}X}$) on $\Lambda^\cdot(\widetilde{T^*X})$ (resp. $\Lambda^\cdot(T^*X)$).

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be an orthonormal basis $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$. Set

$$c(B_X) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2n} B_X(e_i, e_j, e_k) c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_k). \quad (2.18)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2n} \langle S^{-B_X}(e_i) e_j, e_k \rangle c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_k) = -3c(B_X). \quad (2.19)$$

Now recall the definition (2.10) and apply [Bis2, Theorem 2.2] directly, we obtain the following expressions of D^Y and D_T^X with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_{2l}\}$ for $T_{\mathbf{R}}Y$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ for $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$, respectively:

$$D^Y = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} c(e'_i) \nabla_{e'_i}^{B,Y} + 2c(B_Y), \quad (2.20)$$

$$D_T^X = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{B,X} + 2c(B_X) + \sqrt{-1}T(\hat{c}(v) + \hat{c}(\bar{v})). \quad (2.21)$$

c) The local behavior of the deformed operator D_T^X near Y

For $y \in Y$ and $Z \in N_{\mathbf{R},y}$, let $t \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow x_t = \exp_y^X(tZ) \in X$ be the geodesic in X with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{L^X} , such that $x_0 = y$, $dx/dt|_{t=0} = Z$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\epsilon = \{Z \in N_{\mathbf{R}} \mid |Z| < \epsilon\}$. Since X and Y are compact, there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, the map $(y, Z) \in N_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \exp_y^X(Z) \in X$ is a diffeomorphism from B_ϵ to a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ of Y in X . From now on, we will identify B_ϵ with $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ and use the notation $x = (y, Z)$ instead of $x = \exp_y^X(Z)$.

We will make use of the trivialization of $(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_{\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}}$ by the parallel transport of $(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y$ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{B,X}$ along the geodesic $t \rightarrow (y, tZ)$. The key point here is that this trivialization preserves the metric and the $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading since the Bismut connection is a unitary connection and preserves the complex structure of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X$. By using the trivialization of $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ over $\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}$, we can and will make the identification of $(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_{\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}}$ with $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)|_{B_{\epsilon_0}}$ and so we can consider $\nabla^{B,X}$ as a unitary connection on the Hermitian vector bundle $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)|_{B_{\epsilon_0}}$ with the obviously induced metric. Note that there exists another unitary connection $\nabla^{B,X,\oplus}$ on $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)$ defined by

$$\nabla^{B,X,\oplus} = \pi^* \left(\nabla^{-B_X,\oplus} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes j^* \nabla^{\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(\widetilde{T^*X}) \otimes \xi} \right). \quad (2.22)$$

Let dv_Y (resp. dv_N) denote the Riemannian volume element of (Y, g^{TY}) (resp. the fibres of (N, g^N)). We define a smooth positive function $k(y, Z)$ on B_{ϵ_0} by the equation $dv_X(y, Z) = k(y, Z)dv_Y(y)dv_{N_y}(Z)$ and an L^2 -Hermitian inner product on $\mathbf{E}$ by

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} X}} \int_Y \int_{N_{\mathbf{R},y}} \langle f, g \rangle(y, Z) dv_N(Z) dv_Y(y), \quad (2.23)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathbf{E}$ with compact support, where $\mathbf{E}$ denotes the set of smooth sections of $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)$ on $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Clearly, $k(y) = k(y, 0) = 1$ on Y and $k(y, Z)$ has a positive lower bound on $\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0/2}$. If $f \in \mathbf{E}$ has compact support in B_{ϵ_0} , we can identify f with an element in $\Omega^{(\cdot)}(X, \xi)$ which has compact support in $\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}$.

Let $TN_{\mathbf{R}} = T^H N_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the splitting of $TN_{\mathbf{R}}$ induced by the Euclidean connection $\nabla^{L^N} = P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}(j^* \nabla^{L^X})P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}$ on $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, where $T^H N_{\mathbf{R}}$ denotes the horizontal part of $TN_{\mathbf{R}}$. If $U \in T_{\mathbf{R}}Y$, let $U^H \in T^H N_{\mathbf{R}}$ denote the horizontal lift of U in $T^H N_{\mathbf{R}}$, so that $\pi_* U^H = U$. Let

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_{2l}, e_{2l+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\} \quad (2.24)$$

be an orthonormal basis of $T_{\mathbf{R}}X|_Y$ with $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2l}\}$ an orthonormal basis of $T_{\mathbf{R}}Y$ and $\{e_{2l+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ an orthonormal basis of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Definition 2.2 Let D^H, D^N be the operators acting on $\mathbf{E}$

$$D^H = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i^H}^{B,X,\oplus} + 2c(B_Y), \quad D^N = \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} c(e_\alpha) \nabla_{e_\alpha}^{B,X,\oplus}. \quad (2.25)$$

Clearly, D^N acts along the fibres $N_{\mathbf{R},y}$ as the operator $\sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial}^{N_y} + \bar{\partial}^{N_y*})$. Note that D^H, D^N are self-adjoint with respect to the Hermitian inner product (2.23).

Now we turn to Taylor expansions of v near Y along the geodesic (y, tZ) for $y \in Y$ and $Z \in N_{\mathbf{R},y}$. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_l, w_{l+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ be a unitary basis for $TX|_Y$ and let $(z^{l+1}, \dots, z^n)$ denote the associated holomorphic coordinate system on N_y with $w_\alpha = \sqrt{2}\partial/\partial z^\alpha$ for $l+1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. Note that $\mathbf{L}_v : N \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$ is unitary fiberwisely. Set

$$\tilde{w}_\alpha = \mathbf{L}_v(w_\alpha), \quad \tilde{\bar{w}}_\alpha = \mathbf{L}_v(\bar{w}_\alpha), \quad l+1 \leq \alpha \leq n. \quad (2.26)$$

Hence, $\{w_1, \dots, w_l, \tilde{w}_{l+1}, \dots, \tilde{w}_n\}$ is a unitary basis for $\widetilde{TX}|_Y$. We use $\tilde{w}^\tau$ to denote the parallel transport of $\tilde{w}$ with respect to the holomorphic Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\widetilde{TX}}$ along the geodesic (y, tZ) . We write v on $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ as

$$v(y, Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l v^i(y, Z) w_i^\tau + \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n v^\alpha(y, Z) \tilde{w}_\alpha^\tau \right) \quad (2.27)$$

for some smooth functions v^i and v^α . Set

$$v_{Y,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial z^\alpha}(y) z^\alpha w_i, \quad v_{N,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=l+1}^n \frac{\partial v^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta}(y) z^\beta \tilde{w}_\alpha, \quad (2.28)$$

$$v_{Y,2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\alpha,\beta=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial z^\beta}(y) z^\alpha z^\beta w_i, \quad v_{N,2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta \partial z^\gamma}(y) z^\beta z^\gamma \tilde{w}_\alpha. \quad (2.29)$$

Since v is transversal, we get by the definition (2.26),

$$v_{Y,1} = 0, \quad v_{N,1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n z^\alpha \tilde{w}_\alpha, \quad (2.30)$$

and so

$$v(y, Z) = v_{N,1}(y, Z) + v_{Y,2}(y, Z) + v_{N,2}(y, Z) + O(|Z|^3). \quad (2.31)$$

Define

$$D_T^N = D^N + \sqrt{-1}T(\hat{c}(v_{N,1}) + \hat{c}(\bar{v}_{N,1})). \quad (2.32)$$

A direct and easy computation shows that

$$(D_T^N)^2 = -4 \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\alpha} + T^2|Z|^2 - \sqrt{-1}T \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n (c(\bar{w}_\alpha)\hat{c}(\tilde{w}_\alpha) + c(w_\alpha)\hat{c}(\tilde{\bar{w}}_\alpha)). \quad (2.33)$$

Set

$$\theta = \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n w_\alpha^* \wedge \tilde{w}_\alpha^*. \quad (2.34)$$

Clearly, θ is a well-defined smooth section of $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(\bar{N}^* \otimes \tilde{N}^*)$ over Y of the degree 0. Now we have the following analogue of [BL, Proposition 7.3]:

Lemma 2.3 *Take $T > 0$. Then for any $y \in Y$, the operator $(D_T^N)^2$ acting on $\Gamma(\pi^*\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(\bar{N}_y^* \oplus \tilde{N}_y^*))$ over N_y is nonnegative with the kernel $\mathbf{C}\{\beta_y\}$, where*

$$\beta_y = \exp(\theta_y - \frac{T}{2}|Z|^2), \quad |\exp \theta_y|_{\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(\bar{N}_y^* \oplus \tilde{N}_y^*)} = 2^{(n-l)/2}. \quad (2.35)$$

Moreover, the nonzero eigenvalues of $(D_T^N)^2$ are all $\geq TA$ for some positive constant A which can be chosen to be independent of y .

Proof. The proof of the lemma is standard (cf. [BL, Sect.7, (7.10)–(7.13)]; also cf. [Z1, Chapter 4, Sect. 4.5]). $\square$

For any $y \in Y$, $Z \in N_{\mathbf{R},y}$, let τU denote the parallel transport of $U \in T_{\mathbf{R},y}X$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{L^X} along the geodesic (y, tZ) . Note that we have identified the bundle $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)|_{B_{\epsilon_0}}$ with the bundle $(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_{\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}}$ by trivializing the later bundle along the geodesic (y, tZ) by using the connection $\nabla^{B,X}$. The Clifford action of $c((\tau U)(y, tZ))$ on $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ is generally not constant along the geodesic (y, tZ) . This is different from the situation in [BL, Sect.8], where the connection on the related bundle is the lifting of the Levi-Civita connection on TX since the manifold X is Kähler. Hence, to obtain an analogue of [BL, Theorem 8.18], we need to work out the difference between $c((\tau U)(y, Z))$ and the constant Clifford action $c(U)$ on $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)|_{B_{\epsilon_0}}$. Since $\nabla^{-B_X} = \nabla^{L^X} + S^{-B_X}$ is unitary, we know that

$$[\nabla_Z^{B,X}, c((\tau U)(y, tZ))]|_{t=0} = c(\nabla_Z^{-B_X}(\tau U)(y, tZ))|_{t=0} = c(S^{-B_X}(Z)U), \quad (2.36)$$

thus

$$c((\tau U)(y, Z)) = c(U) + c(S^{-B_X}(Z)U) + O(|Z|^2). \quad (2.37)$$

Set with respect to the basis (2.24):

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{2l} \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} \langle \mathbf{A}(e_i)e_j, e_\alpha \rangle c(e_i)c(e_j)c(e_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} (e_\alpha k)c(e_\alpha), \quad (2.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(B'(y)) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2l} \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} B_X(e_i, e_j, e_\alpha) c(e_i)c(e_j)c(e_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=2l+1}^{2n} B_X(e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma) c(e_\alpha)c(e_\beta)c(e_\gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

$$c(B''(y)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2l} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2l+1}^{2n} B_X(e_i, e_\alpha, e_\beta) c(e_i)c(e_\alpha)c(e_\beta). \quad (2.40)$$

One verifies easily that

$$c(B_X(y)) = c(B_Y(y)) + c(B'(y)) + c(B''(y)). \quad (2.41)$$

Now we have the following analogue of [BL, Theorem 8.18], which describes the local behavior of D_T^X near Y . Comparing to [BL, Theorem 8.18, (8.58)], some new terms enter into the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *As $T \rightarrow +\infty$, then*

$$k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} = D^H + D_T^N + M_T + c(B'') + T\sqrt{-1}\hat{c}(v_{Y,2} + \bar{v}_{Y,2}) + \mathbf{S} + R_T, \quad (2.42)$$

where

$$M_T = M + c(B') + T\sqrt{-1}\hat{c}(v_{N,2} + \bar{v}_{N,2}), \quad (2.43)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \nabla_{P^N \mathbf{R} S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i}^{B,X,\oplus}, \quad (2.44)$$

$$R_T = O(|Z|\partial^H + |Z|^2\partial^N + |Z| + T|Z|^3), \quad (2.45)$$

and ∂^H, ∂^N represent horizontal and vertical differential operators, respectively.

Proof. Let $\{\tau e_1, \dots, \tau e_{2n}\}$ be the parallel transport of the basis (2.24) with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{L^X} along the geodesic (y, tZ) for $y \in Y$ and $Z \in N_{\mathbf{R}, y}$. From (2.21), we have

$$D_T^X = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(\tau e_i) \nabla_{\tau e_i}^{B, X} + 2c(B_X) + \sqrt{-1}T(\hat{c}(v) + \hat{c}(\bar{v})). \quad (2.46)$$

We identify $\overline{TX}$ with $\pi^*(\overline{TX}|_Y)$ over $\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0}$ by trivializing $\overline{TX}$ with respect to the Bismut connection ∇^{-B_X} along the geodesic (y, tZ) and set

$$\Gamma = \nabla^{-B_X} - \nabla^{-B_X, \oplus}. \quad (2.47)$$

Let $\Gamma^\wedge$ denote the lifting action of Γ on $\pi^*(\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{T^*X})|_Y)$. For any $y \in Y$, we find by (2.12)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \Gamma_y^\wedge(e_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{2l} \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} \langle \mathbf{A}_y(e_i) e_j, e_\alpha \rangle c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_\alpha). \quad (2.48)$$

Furthermore, recall (2.13) and then we get

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(\tau e_i) \nabla_{\tau e_i}^{B, X, \oplus} + 2c(B_X) + \sqrt{-1}T(\hat{c}(v) + \hat{c}(\bar{v})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \Gamma_y^\wedge(e_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} (e_\alpha k)(y) c(e_\alpha) + O(|Z|). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (2.31), (2.38), (2.41), (2.43) and (2.48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(\tau e_i) \nabla_{\tau e_i}^{B, X, \oplus} + 2c(B_Y) + T\sqrt{-1}\hat{c}(v_{N,1} + \bar{v}_{N,1}) \\ &\quad + M_T + c(B'') + T\sqrt{-1}\hat{c}(v_{Y,2} + \bar{v}_{Y,2}) + O(|Z| + T|Z|^3). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.37) and the expansion of τe_i along (y, tZ) in the proof of [BL, Theorem 8.18], especially [BL, (8.80), (8.84)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(\tau e_i) \nabla_{\tau e_i}^{B, X, \oplus} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2l} c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i^H}^{B, X, \oplus} + \sum_{\alpha=2l+1}^{2n} c(e_\alpha) \nabla_{e_\alpha}^{B, X, \oplus} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(S^{-B_X}(Z) e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{B, X, \oplus} + O(|Z| \partial^H + |Z|^2 \partial^N), \end{aligned}$$

and then by the definition of D^H and D_T^N ,

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} &= D^H + D_T^N + M_T + c(B'') + T\sqrt{-1}\hat{c}(v_{Y,2} + \bar{v}_{Y,2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} + O(|Z|\partial^H + |Z|^2\partial^N + |Z| + T|Z|^3). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} &= - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \nabla_{S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \nabla_{P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i}}^{B,X,\oplus} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_i) \nabla_{P^{T_{\mathbf{R}}Y}S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} \\ &= \mathbf{S} + O(|Z|\partial^H), \end{aligned}$$

from which we complete the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using Bismut-Lebeau's techniques in [BL, Sect.9, Sect.10, a)].

For any $\mu \geq 0$, let E^μ (resp. $\mathbf{E}^\mu$, resp. F^μ) be the set of sections of $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi$ on X (resp. of $\pi^*(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y$) on the total space of N , resp. of $\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y$ on Y) which lie in the μ -th Sobolev spaces. Let $\|\cdot\|_{E^\mu}$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{E}^\mu}$, resp. $\|\cdot\|_{F^\mu}$) be the Sobolev norm on E^μ (resp. $\mathbf{E}^\mu$, resp. F^μ). We always assume that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{E^0}$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{E}^0}$, resp. $\|\cdot\|_{F^0}$) is the norm associated with the scalar products on the corresponding bundles).

Let $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth even function with $\gamma(a) = 1$ if $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma(a) = 0$ if $|a| \geq 1$. For any $y \in Y$, $Z \in N_y$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, where ϵ_0 is chosen as in Section 2, **c**), set

$$\gamma_\epsilon(Z) = \gamma\left(\frac{|Z|}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \alpha_T = \int_{N_{\mathbf{R},y}} \gamma_\epsilon^2(Z) \exp(-T|Z|^2) \frac{dv_{N_y}(Z)}{(2\pi)^{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} N}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Clearly, α_T does not depend on $y \in Y$ and $\alpha_T = O(\frac{1}{T^{n-l}})$.

For $\mu \geq 0, T > 0$, define linear maps $I_T : F^\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^\mu$ and $J_T : F^\mu \rightarrow E^\mu$ by

$$I_T u = \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-l}\alpha_T}\right)^{1/2} \gamma_\epsilon(Z)(\pi^*u)\beta_y, \quad J_T u = k^{-1/2}I_T u, \quad \forall u \in F^\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to see that I_T, J_T are isometries from F^0 onto their images. For $\mu \geq 0, T > 0$, let $\mathbf{E}_T^\mu$ (resp. E_T^μ) be the image of F^μ in $\mathbf{E}^\mu$ (resp. E^μ) under I_T (resp. J_T) and let $\mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}$ (resp. $E_T^{0,\perp}$) be the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{E}_T^0$ (resp. E_T^0) in $\mathbf{E}^0$ (resp. E^0) and let $p_T, p_T^\perp$ (resp. $\bar{p}_T, \bar{p}_T^\perp$) be the orthogonal projection operators from $\mathbf{E}^0$ (resp. E^0) onto $\mathbf{E}_T^0, \mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}$ (resp. $E_T^0, E_T^{0,\perp}$), respectively. Set

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mu,\perp} = \mathbf{E}^\mu \cap \mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then $\mathbf{E}^0$ splits orthogonally into

$$\mathbf{E}^0 = \mathbf{E}_T^0 \oplus \mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since the map $s \in \mathbf{E}^0 \rightarrow k^{-1/2}s \in \mathbf{E}^0$ is an isometry, we see that the map $s \rightarrow k^{-1/2}s$ identifies the Hilbert space $\mathbf{E}_T^0$ and E_T^0 . Corresponding to the decomposition (3.4) we set:

$$D_{T,1} = \bar{p}_T D_T^X \bar{p}_T, \quad D_{T,2} = \bar{p}_T D_T^X \bar{p}_T^\perp, \quad D_{T,3} = \bar{p}_T^\perp D_T^X \bar{p}_T, \quad D_{T,4} = \bar{p}_T^\perp D_T^X \bar{p}_T^\perp. \quad (3.5)$$

Then

$$D_T^X = D_{T,1} + D_{T,2} + D_{T,3} + D_{T,4}. \quad (3.6)$$

We have the following analogue of [BL, Theorem 9.8].

Lemma 3.1 *The following formula holds on $\Gamma(\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*Y) \otimes \xi|_Y)$ as $T \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$J_T^{-1} D_{T,1} J_T = D^Y + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right), \quad (3.7)$$

where $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}})$ is a first order differential operator with smooth coefficients dominated by $C/\sqrt{T}$.

Proof. Note that the action of the operator M_T on $\pi^*((\Lambda^{(\cdot)}(T_{\mathbf{C}}^*X) \otimes \xi)|_Y)$ interchanges $\pi^*(\Lambda^{(\text{even})}(\bar{N}^* \oplus \widetilde{N}^*))$ and $\pi^*(\Lambda^{(\text{odd})}(\bar{N}^* \oplus \widetilde{N}^*))$, we get

$$p_T M_T p_T = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that B_X is antisymmetric and $\langle c(e_\alpha)c(e_\beta)\beta_y, \beta_y \rangle = 0$ for any α, β with $2l+1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2n$, we obtain

$$p_T c(B'') p_T = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Since B_X is antisymmetric, by (2.8) we get $\langle S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i, Z \rangle = 0$ and so

$$\nabla_{P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} \left(\gamma_\epsilon(Z) \exp \left(\theta_y - \frac{T|Z|^2}{2} \right) \right) = \exp \left(\theta_y - \frac{T|Z|^2}{2} \right) (P^{N_{\mathbf{R}}}S^{-B_X}(Z)e_i) \gamma_\epsilon(Z). \quad (3.10)$$

From the equality above we can prove easily the following estimate for some uniformly positive constant C and any $s \in \mathbf{E}^1$:

$$\|p_T \mathbf{S}s\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \|s\|_{\mathbf{E}^1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $\int_{\mathbf{C}} e^{-T|z|^2} z^2 dz d\bar{z} = 0$ and $(\gamma_\epsilon^2 - 1)$ vanishes on a symmetric domain containing 0, we have for any $u \in \mathbf{F}$ that

$$I_T^{-1} p_T (\hat{c}(v_{Y,2}) + \hat{c}(\bar{v}_{Y,2})) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-l}\alpha_T} \right)^{1/2} \gamma_\epsilon(Z) (\pi^* u) \beta_y = O\left(\frac{1}{T^{3/2}}\right). \quad (3.12)$$

On the other hand, note that β_y is of constant length on Y , we get for $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ that $\langle \nabla_{e_i}^{B,X,\oplus} \beta, \beta \rangle = 0$ and so

$$I_T^{-1} p_T D^H p_T I_T = D^Y. \quad (3.13)$$

One can then proceed as in [BL, Proof of Theorem 9.8] and use (3.8)–(3.12) to complete the proof of Lemma 3.1 easily. $\square$

Note that the estimate (3.12) and proceed as the proof of Theorem 9.10, Theorem 9.11 and Theorem 9.14 in [BL, Sect.9], one can prove the following lemma without any new difficulty.

Lemma 3.2 *There exist $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, $s \in \mathbf{E}_T^{1,\perp}$ and $s' \in \mathbf{E}_T^1$, then*

$$\|D_{T,2}s\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|s\|_{\mathbf{E}^1}}{\sqrt{T}} + \|s\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|D_{T,3}s'\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|s'\|_{\mathbf{E}^1}}{\sqrt{T}} + \|s'\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\|D_{T,4}s\|_{\mathbf{E}^0} \geq C_2 (\|s\|_{\mathbf{E}^1} + \sqrt{T} \|s\|_{\mathbf{E}^0}). \quad (3.16)$$

Let $\mathbf{Spec} (D^Y)$ denote the spectrum of D^Y . Choose $c > 0$ such that $\mathbf{Spec} (D^Y) \cap [-2c, 2c] \subset \{0\}$. Let $\delta = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda| = c\}$. Let $E_c(T)$ denote the direct sum of the eigenspaces of D_T^X with eigenvalues lying in $[-c, c]$. Then $E_c(T)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of E^0 . Let $P_{T,c}$ denote the orthogonal projection from $J_T(\ker (D^Y))$ to $E_c(T)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have the following analogue of [BL, (9.156)] (also see [TZ, Proposition 4.4] for a proof without using the norm in [BL, Sect.9, Definition 9.17] and the distance in [BL, Sect.9, Definition 9.22]):

Theorem 3.3 *There exist $c > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, the projection*

$$P_{T,c} : J_T(\ker (D^Y)) \rightarrow E_c(T) \quad (3.17)$$

is an isomorphism.

Now to prove Theorem 1.1 we only need to prove that when T large enough, D_T^X has no nonzero small eigenvalues or equivalently, to prove the following equality:

$$E_c(T) = \ker (D_T^X). \quad (3.18)$$

Let Q denote the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{(\cdot)}(Y, \xi)$ to $\ker (D^Y)$. Then we have the following analogue of [Z2, Theorem 1.10] (also see [BL, Theorem 10.1, (10.4)]):

Theorem 3.4 *There exist $c > 0$, $C > 0$, $T_1 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_1$, any $\sigma \in \ker (D^Y)$,*

$$\|(2^{n-l}\alpha_T)^{1/2}Qj^*P_{T,c}J_T\sigma - \sigma\|_0 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}\|\sigma\|_0. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. The proof of [Z2, Theorem 1.10], which is a modified version of the proof of [BL, Theorem 10.1, (10.4)], is carried out here with the identity [Z2,(1.34)] in the proof of the [Z2, Theorem 1.10] replaced by the following equality

$$j^* \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta} k^{-1/2} \gamma_{\epsilon} \frac{(\pi^*\sigma)\beta_y}{\lambda} d\lambda = \sigma. \quad (3.20)$$

The proof of (3.20) is similar to that of the identity [BL, (10.29)]. $\square$

Note that $j^*\beta_y = 1$ is crucial in the proof of (3.19). It is no longer true for the case of the analytic proof of Morse inequalities of Witten ([W]) since in that case the contribution

of the bundle $\Lambda(N^*)$ to the kernel of D_T^N is a pure p -form around each critical point of index p (cf. [Z1, Chapter 5, 6]) and its pull-back by j^* vanishes on Y . Consequently, j^* can not be a quasi-isomorphism at all in that case.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 now is similar to that in [Z2, Sect.1, e)]. Note that the trick used in Zhang's proof and so ours is inspired by Braverman ([Br, Sect.3]). First of all, we know from Theorem 3.3 that

$$P_{T,c}J_T : \ker (D^Y) \rightarrow E_c(T) \quad (3.21)$$

is an isomorphism when T is very large. Take $\alpha \in E_c(T)$. Then $\bar{\partial}_T^X \alpha \in E_c(T)$. By the above discussion, there exists $\sigma \in \ker (D^Y)$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}_T^X \alpha = (2^{n-l}\alpha_T)^{1/2} P_{T,c}J_T \sigma. \quad (3.22)$$

From (3.22) and that j^* is a quasi-homomorphism, i.e. $j^*\bar{\partial}_T^X = \bar{\partial}^Y j^*$, we have

$$(2^{n-l}\alpha_T)^{1/2} Qj^* P_{T,c}J_T \sigma = Qj^* \bar{\partial}_T^X \alpha = Q\bar{\partial}^Y j^* \alpha = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23) and (3.19), we get

$$\|\sigma\|_0 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \|\sigma\|_0, \quad (3.24)$$

and so $\sigma = 0$ as T large enough. Thus, when T is large enough, we have that

$$\bar{\partial}_T^X|_{E_c(T)} = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

From (3.25) and Theorem 3.3, we have that when T is large enough,

$$\dim \ker (D_T^X) = \dim E_c(T) = \dim \ker (D^Y). \quad (3.26)$$

Now by Theorem 3.4,

$$j^* : \ker (D_T^X) \rightarrow \ker (D^Y) \quad (3.27)$$

is clearly an injective and so an isomorphism from (3.26). $\square$

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Professors Jean-Michel Bismut and Xiaonan Ma for many helpful discussions from which the author benefits a lot. This work was done while the author was visiting the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques in

Bures-Sur-Yvette. He would like to thank Professor Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and the IHES for their hospitality and support.

References

- [Bis1] J. -M. Bismut, Holomorphic and de Rham torsions, *preprint*.
- [Bis2] J. -M. Bismut, A local index theorem for non Kähler manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 284(1989), 681-699.
- [BL] J. -M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, Complex immersions and Quillen metrics, *Publ. Math. IHES.* V.74 (1991).
- [Bot] R. Bott, A residue formula for holomorphic vector fields, *J. Diff. Geom.* 1(1967), 311-330.
- [Br] M. Braverman, Cohomology of the Mumford quotient, *Progress in Math.* 198(2001), 47-59.
- [CL] J. B. Carrell and D. I. Lieberman, Holomorphic vector fields and Kaehler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 21 (1973), 303-309.
- [L] K. Liu, Holomorphic equivariant cohomology, *Math. Ann.* 303(1995), 125-148.
- [TZ] Y. Tian and W. Zhang, Quantization formula for symplectic manifolds with boundary, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9(1999), 596-640.
- [W] E. Witten, Supersymmetry and Morse theory, *J. Diff. Geom.* 17(1982), 661-692.
- [Z1] W. Zhang, Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations, *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*, V.4, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [Z2] W. Zhang, A holomorphic quantization formula in singular reduction, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 1(1999), No.3, 281-293.

Feng: College of Mathematical Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China;
fht@nankai.edu.cn

Current address:

Feng: Institut Des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Bures-Sur-Yvette, 91440, France;
feng@ihes.fr